



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/777,725	02/12/2004	Marques Benjamin Quiller	AUS920031070US1	5920
46242	7590	02/22/2008		
JANIS E. CLEMENTS 3112 LOMITA DRIVE AUSTIN, TX 78738				
EXAMINER				
DEBROW, JAMES J				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2176				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
02/22/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/777,725

Applicant(s)

QUILLER ET AL.

Examiner

James J. Debrow

Art Unit

2176

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 February 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 2/12/2004 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/CDC)
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____
- Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to communications: Application filed 12 Feb. 2004.
2. Claims 1-18 are pending in this case. Claims 1, 7 and 13 are independent claims.

Specification

3. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

4. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it exceeds 150 words in length. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
6. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

7. **Claims 1, 7 and 13** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The claims recites "*means for isolating data in a displayed received document using divider tags*". The specification does not disclose "*divider tags*".

8. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

9. **Claims 1 and 7** recites the limitation "*said receiving station*" in line 6. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claims, as claims 1 & 7, line 2 respectively recites "*receiving display station*".

10. **Claims 5, 6, 11, 12, 17 and 18** recites the limitation "*underlying Web document*". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

11. **Claims 1, 7 and 13** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claims recites “*means for isolating data in a displayed received document using divider tags*”. The specification does not disclose “*divider tags*”. Therefore the Examiner concludes “divider tags” are standard HTML tags, which are typically found within HTML source code.

12. **Claims 2, 9 and 15** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claims recite “*said documents are E-mail documents*”. The Examiner is not sure if claim is referencing the *received documents* or *secondary document*. Therefore the Examiner concludes the claim is referencing the secondary document.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

13. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

14. **Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by (NPL: “Microsoft Office Professional Edition 2003”) (hereinafter “MS-Office03”).**

Regarding independent claims 1, 7 and 13, MS-Office03 discloses a *communication network with user access via a plurality of data processor controlled interactive receiving display stations for displaying received documents of at least one display page containing formatted text and image data, and available from sources on the network, a system for eliminating extraneous displayable data from received documents comprising:*

network interactive browser means associated with each of said receiving stations for accessing said received documents from the network and displaying said documents at said receiving display stations (It has been established and is well known in the art that communication networks capable of displaying received documents (Fig. 3) typically consist of a network browser (Fig. 2).).

said network browser means further including:

means for isolating data in a displayed received document using

divider tags (Fig. 4; MS-Office03 discloses isolating data within a beginning and ending tag within the source file of the received document. Thus, isolating data in a displayed received document using divider tags.).

means enabling a user to print the isolated data designated by a user (Figs. 5-8; MS-Office03 discloses means enabling a user to print the isolated data designated by a user via the copy, paste and print commands and also the print command from the dropdown list.).

means for copying said designated data to create a secondary document having a document format structure independent of a format structure of the received document (Figs. 5, 13 and 14; MS-Office03 discloses means for copying said designated data to create a secondary document.).

Regarding dependent claims 2, 8 and 14, MS-Office03 discloses *the communication network of claim 1 wherein said communication network is the World Wide Web (Web), and said network documents are Web documents* (Figs. 3).

Regarding dependent claims 3, 9 and 15, MS-Office03 discloses *the Web network of claim 2 wherein said documents are E-mail documents* (Figs. 13 and 14; MS-Office03 discloses means for copying said designated data to create a secondary document, e.g an E-mail document.).

Regarding dependent claims 4, 10 and 16, MS-Office03 discloses *the Web network of claim 3 further including means for storing said secondary document independent of said received Web document* (Fig. 15 MS-Office03 discloses storing said secondary document independent of said received Web document.).

Regarding dependent claims 5, 11 and 17, MS-Office03 discloses *the Web network of claim 2 wherein there are uncopied extraneous graphics and text remaining in said underlying Web document* (Figs. 12 & 14; MS-Office03 discloses copying and pasting text from a Web document wherein there are uncopied extraneous graphics and text remaining in said underlying Web document.).

Regarding dependent claims 6, 12 and 18, MS-Office03 discloses *the Web network of claim 3 wherein there are unprinted extraneous graphics and text in said underlying Web document* (Figs. 9, 10, 11, 16 and 17; MS-Office03 discloses printing graphics and text from a Web document, unprinted extraneous graphics and text in said underlying Web document.).

15. It is noted that any citations to specific, pages, columns, lines, or figures in the prior art references and any interpretation of the reference should not be considered to be limiting in any way. A reference is relevant for all it contains and may be relied upon for all that it would have reasonably suggested to one having ordinary skill in the art. See MPEP 2123.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James J. Debrow whose telephone number is 571-272-5768. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Doug Hutton can be reached on 571-272-4137. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JAMES DEBROW
EXAMINER
ART UNIT 2176

/William L. Bashore/
William L. Bashore
Primary Examiner
Tech Center 2100